



Post-traumatic stress disorder following childbirth: an update of current issues and recommendations for future research

Kirstie McKenzie-McHarg, Susan Ayers, Elizabeth Ford, Antje Horsch, Julie Jomeen, Alexandra Sawyer, Claire Stramrood, Gill Thomson & Pauline Slade

To cite this article: Kirstie McKenzie-McHarg, Susan Ayers, Elizabeth Ford, Antje Horsch, Julie Jomeen, Alexandra Sawyer, Claire Stramrood, Gill Thomson & Pauline Slade (2015) Post-traumatic stress disorder following childbirth: an update of current issues and recommendations for future research, *Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology*, 33:3, 219-237, DOI: [10.1080/02646838.2015.1031646](https://doi.org/10.1080/02646838.2015.1031646)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02646838.2015.1031646>



Published online: 28 Apr 2015.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 705



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

Post-traumatic stress disorder following childbirth: an update of current issues and recommendations for future research

Kirstie McKenzie-McHarg^{a*}, Susan Ayers^b, Elizabeth Ford^c, Antje Horsch^d, Julie Jomeen^e, Alexandra Sawyer^b, Claire Stramrood^f, Gill Thomson^g and Pauline Slade^h

^aDepartment of Clinical Health Psychology, Warwick Hospital, Warwick, UK; ^bCentre for Maternal and Child Health Research, School of Health Sciences, City University London, London, UK; ^cDivision of Primary Care and Public Health, Brighton and Sussex Medical School, University of Brighton, Brighton, UK; ^dService Universitaire de Psychiatrie de l'Enfant et de l'Adolescent (SUPEA), Unité de Recherche, Lausanne, Switzerland; ^eFaculty of Health and Social Care, University of Hull, Hull, UK; ^fUniversity Medical Centre Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands; ^gMaternal and Infant Nutrition and Nurture Unit, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, UK; ^hClinical Psychology, Institute of Psychology Health and Society, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

(Received 1 August 2014; accepted 16 March 2015)

Objective: This paper aimed to report the current status of research in the field of post-traumatic stress disorder following childbirth (PTSD FC), and to update the findings of an earlier 2008 paper. **Background:** A group of international researchers, clinicians and service users met in 2006 to establish the state of clinical and academic knowledge relating to PTSD FC. A paper identified four key areas of research knowledge at that time. **Methods:** Fourteen clinicians and researchers met in Oxford, UK to update the previously published paper relating to PTSD FC. The first part of the meeting focused on updating the four key areas identified previously, and the second part on discussing new and emerging areas of research within the field. **Results:** A number of advances have been made in research within the area of PTSD FC. Prevalence is well established within mothers, several intervention studies have been published, and there is growing interest in new areas: staff and pathways; prevention and early intervention; impact on families and children; special populations; and post-traumatic growth. **Conclusion:** Despite progress, significant gaps remain within the PTSD FC knowledge base. Further research continues to be needed across all areas identified in 2006, and five areas were identified which can be seen as 'new and emerging'. All of these new areas require further extensive research. Relatively little is still known about PTSD FC.

Keywords: PTSD; childbirth; review; theory; research

Introduction

There is now substantial empirical work showing that a proportion of women develop post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) following childbirth, with potentially wide-ranging consequences for them and their families (Fenech & Thomson, 2014). To date, research has focused on the proportion of women affected, risk factors

*Corresponding author. Email: kirstie.mckenzie-mcharg@swift.nhs.uk

for the development of PTSD following childbirth, and its impact on women. In contrast, there has been relatively little research into prevention, assessment and intervention. In 2006 an international group of researchers, clinicians and user-group representatives met to discuss the status of knowledge and formulate recommendations for research into PTSD following childbirth. Recommendations were made for research into (1) prevalence and comorbidity of PTSD after birth; (2) screening and treatment; (3) diagnostic and conceptual issues; and (4) theoretical issues (Ayers, Joseph, McKenzie-McHarg, Slade, & Wijma, 2008).

Research and understanding of PTSD following childbirth has increased considerably since that time. In 2014 a small meeting of researchers and clinicians from the UK and Europe was held with the aims of discussing progress in research and considering key gaps in current understanding (see the Appendix for a list of participants). The meeting focused on updating the four primary areas discussed in 2006 and identifying promising areas of developing knowledge.

This paper provides a summary of discussions at this meeting and aims to update our understanding of PTSD following childbirth and recommendations for research. The paper is in two sections. The first considers how research has developed in the areas originally considered in 2006. The second considers emerging areas for research identified as: (1) staff and pathways; (2) prevention and early intervention; (3) impact on families and children; (4) special populations; and (5) post-traumatic growth. As in the previous paper, discussions were based on the knowledge of individuals attending, and the multidisciplinary nature of the group means a variety of views were represented. As illustrated in the Appendix, the group included professionals from psychology, obstetrics, epidemiology and midwifery, and a range of expertise was represented including those working within clinical practice and research as well as those at the beginning of their careers, and those from a more senior perspective. Individuals were identified as having either published within the area of trauma related to birth, or working clinically with this population. This paper is not a systematic review, but represents the discussions on the day, and an overview of the issues raised by the participants.

A number of themes arose in most discussions. It was acknowledged that childbirth, when experienced as traumatic, may differ from other potentially traumatic events due to its culturally positive connotations, the need to consider at least two individuals at all times (mother and baby), the liminal nature of pregnancy and birth, that the event takes place within the context of formal care, and the potential issues for the mother of caring for a baby who may remind her of the trauma. This has implications for labelling, measurement, comparability with other populations with PTSD, and applicability of PTSD research into the context of traumatic birth. Another issue commonly arising was whether traumatic experiences of childbirth should be conceptualised as a diagnostic category or a continuum of distress. These issues are considered further in the sections on conceptual issues and theory.

Section 1: Update on research areas outlined by Ayers et al. (2008)

This section presents the groups' discussions on the four topics considered in the previous paper (Ayers et al., 2008), updating the current knowledge base and identifying ongoing research where applicable.

Prevalence and comorbidity

The 2008 paper identified three issues within this topic: prevalence; course of PTSD; and methodological issues to be considered. The prevalence of PTSD following childbirth has been widely examined and meta-analyses of this research suggest it is 3.1% in all postnatal women and 15.7% in high-risk groups (Grekin & O'Hara, 2014). Since 2006, there has been an increase in research examining prevalence in other groups, such as fathers, specific populations (such as those experiencing stillbirth), and staff, all of which provide some evidence that PTSD following childbirth (PTSD FC) can occur within these groups. The implications of the new diagnostic criteria in the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (DSM-5; American Psychological Association, 2013) are unknown at present as all published research thus far has been conducted using DSM-IV criteria.

The natural course of PTSD FC is still poorly understood, and research needs to chart incidence, severity, duration and recovery phases utilising longitudinal methods. A review and meta-analysis of PTSD following other events suggests 44% of people recover spontaneously in the first 10 or more months after the event (Morina, Wicherts, Lobrecht, & Priebe, 2014), whereas the few studies examining the course of PTSD FC have inconsistent findings. Comorbidity of PTSD FC with depression is known to be high, with reported rates from 20% to 75% (Stramrood, Paarlberg, et al., 2011; White, Matthey, Boyd, & Barnett, 2006). However, comorbidity with other mental health problems is unknown. The course and onset of comorbid PTSD and depression is also unclear, although clinicians in the group suggested that postnatal depression (PND) is usually secondary to PTSD FC.

Methodological issues in this area remain largely unchanged. Robust measures are needed, adapted to the perinatal period, to ensure that women are being appropriately identified as having PTSD FC. Research using clinical interviews remains rare and usually reports lower prevalence rates (Grekin & O'Hara, 2014). There are very few studies looking at long-term outcomes after the first year. Clinically, it is important that PTSD is considered as a diagnosis in all pregnant and postnatal women; many are misdiagnosed with postnatal depression and therefore do not receive appropriate treatment.

Screening and treatment

The 2008 paper considered screening, treatment and impact of PTSD on women and their families. Many of the issues outlined in 2008 remain. Screening for PTSD FC is not common in maternity care, and the disorder remains largely unrecognised outside specialist perinatal and/or maternity services. Research is needed to examine the context and process of screening as well as identifying appropriate tools. For example, there are questions around when screening should take place, by whom, and what the best method might be of raising staff and patient awareness. The process of screening can raise anxiety and hence it is important to administer screening in an appropriate manner, and ensure that referral routes exist. It is also important to consider symptom overlap with comorbid mental health issues, such as depression or generalised anxiety, and how best to assess for these, although differentiating between PTSD FC and comorbid depression or anxiety is not straightforward as several symptoms overlap. It is generally agreed that the symptoms of PTSD FC

are the same as those found in PTSD arising following other traumas (defined in DSM-5 as falling within four clusters of symptoms: intrusions; avoidance; alterations in arousal/reactivity; and negative cognitions/mood). It is in these last two clusters that symptoms may overlap, such as sleep disturbance or persistent negative beliefs and expectations about oneself or the world, for example.

Since 2008, a few studies have looked at interventions for women with PTSD FC. These predominantly comprise case studies which suggest that PTSD treatments such as cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT) and eye movement desensitisation and reprocessing (EMDR) are effective (Ayers, McKenzie-McHarg, & Eagle, 2007; Sandstrom, Wiberg, Wikman, Willman, & Hogberg, 2008; Stramrood et al., 2012).

The role of charities, service user groups and advocacy groups has gained prominence in supporting the development of quality services providing input to women and their families. For example, in the UK, the Maternal Mental Health Alliance was set up as an umbrella advocacy group for organisations working within the perinatal period. Their campaign for improved recognition and services was launched in 2014 (www.everyonesbusiness.org.uk). Internationally, there are calls for maternal mental health to be fully integrated into maternity care (Rahman, Surkan, Cayetano, Rwagatare, & Dickson, 2013). These and other initiatives provide an opportunity for PTSD FC to be appropriately recognised. The clinical implications are that services need to incorporate appropriate assessment for PTSD in pregnancy or following childbirth. At present, training on perinatal mental health issues for health visitors varies around the UK. Where health visitors are aware of PTSD FC, and there are appropriate referrals routes, they occupy an important position in ensuring that women receive appropriate treatment early in the postnatal period. However, there remains much to be done in terms of training and supervision of health visitors, and in ensuring that onward services exist to which health visitors may refer identified women.

Diagnostic and conceptual issues

The 2008 paper considered whether PTSD FC is the same as PTSD following other events, and whether focus should be broadened to include other forms of distress. Diagnostic issues remain paramount. To date, all published research uses DSM-IV criteria, which included somatic symptoms common in the postnatal population such as ‘difficulty falling or staying asleep’, ‘difficulty concentrating’ and ‘hypervigilance’. This has issues for how we conceptualise, diagnose and screen for postnatal PTSD (Ayers, Wright, & Ford, 2015). DSM-5 has changed how PTSD is classified and it is now a ‘trauma and stressor-related disorder’ rather than an anxiety disorder. Event criteria have changed, including the removal of the previous criterion A2, which specified that individuals must respond to the traumatic event with intense fear, helplessness or horror. In DSM-5 a cluster of symptoms has been added to include ‘negative alterations in cognitions and mood associated with the traumatic event(s)’. Symptoms such as loss of interest or participation in significant activities, persistent negative emotional state, and inability to experience positive emotions could also be attributed to depression.

These changes are critical and impact on the prevalence, conceptualisation and diagnosis of PTSD FC. For example, two large studies (UK and Australia) suggest the removal of A2 will increase prevalence rates of PTSD FC because many women perceive a threat of injury or death during birth (Ayers, Harris, Sawyer,

Parfitt, & Ford, 2009; Boorman, Devilly, Gamble, Creedy, & Fenwick, 2014). Research is therefore needed to examine the implications and utility of DSM-5 criteria in comparison to other diagnostic criteria, such as DSM-IV or ICD-10 (World Health Organisation, 1992).

The group also recognised, and discussed, the reality that for a significant minority of women with PTSD FC, the birth itself may not have been objectively regarded as 'traumatic'. PTSD is generally easier to recognise by health professionals when the birth has had a clear element of objective trauma such as a third-degree tear, or postpartum haemorrhage. However, many women report trauma symptoms following births where the trauma was based on more subjective factors, such as feeling abandoned by the midwife, or feeling that the pain was unmanageable (Ayers, 2004). These women may be less likely to be identified.

Another issue is the importance of recognising the impact of subthreshold symptomatology, particularly when broadening the focus on the full range of distress rather than just diagnosis. Many women may not meet full caseness for a diagnosis of PTSD, but clinicians in the group reported that subclinical symptoms may still impact negatively on women's functioning, particularly if they are experiencing symptoms of re-experiencing. Given the potential impact of PTSD on women and their families, intervention remains important even where a diagnosis cannot be given if there is a clear impact on levels of distress or functioning.

Theoretical issues

Research in PTSD FC has been predominantly atheoretical, in that it has rarely been explicitly based on theory. Careful consideration of relevant theories can contribute to greater clarity of concepts and understanding of different explanations for PTSD following childbirth. Theories of PTSD, stress, and specifically about PTSD FC are all relevant. Theoretical mechanisms proposed to perpetuate symptoms of PTSD after traumatic events include dysfunctional cognitions (Ehlers & Clark, 2000), memory processes (Brewin, 2001; Ehlers & Clark, 2000) and negative social phenomena (Charuvastra & Cloitre, 2008). Ehlers and Clark's (2000) theory proposes that PTSD occurs if individuals process the event or its sequelae in a way which produces a *sense of current threat*, with negative thoughts and cognitions about the event, and a disturbance or block in memory processing (Ehlers & Clark, 2000). This model has been applied to PTSD FC and found to be a good predictor of PTSD FC symptoms (Ford, Ayers, & Bradley, 2010; Vossbeck-Elsebusch, Freisfeld, & Ehring, 2014).

Relevant stress theories include those by Lazarus and Folkman (1984), who emphasised the importance of appraisal in stress responses. Stress arises when events are appraised as high threat and coping ability is perceived to be low. Diathesis–stress frameworks account for the interaction between individual vulnerability and events to determine outcome. Specific conceptual frameworks using stress theories for perinatal populations have been proposed. Ayers (Ayers, 2004; Ayers & Ford, 2014) used a diathesis–stress framework to propose a model of vulnerability and risk factors for PTSD following childbirth, and to summarise factors that might be involved in the aetiology of the condition. Slade (2006) provided a detailed conceptual framework which includes predisposing, precipitating and maintaining factors which relate to internal, external and interactional influences. New theories are also being developed (Iles, 2015).

While the application of theory is increasing, there is still much to be done to evaluate theoretical frameworks for PTSD FC. Greater application and testing of existing PTSD theories to PTSD FC is needed, including exploration of proposed mediating factors, such as memory processes involved in trauma memories, and how they differ in women with and without PTSD following birth. Research is also needed to extend the theories applied to childbirth. Theories applied thus far to PTSD FC are mainly psychological and theories on the neurobiology of PTSD (e.g. Brewin, 2001; Brown & Morey, 2012), social theories of PTSD (e.g. Charuvastra & Cloitre, 2008), or other disciplines that may also be relevant have not been examined. For example, liminality theories, which understand birth as a rite of passage (Kenworthy Teather, 2005; Parratt, 2008) provide a different perspective. Research should also examine the role of social bonds within the development of PTSD between the woman, her caregivers, her partner and her infant as well as her family and personal networks and the impact of these. A role for attachment theory in terms of adult attachment patterns as a predisposing vulnerability factor is also emerging (Iles, Slade, & Spiby, 2011; Quinn, Spiby, & Slade, 2015). Additionally, it would be valuable to see research on high-risk subgroups, such as stillbirth, being informed by theoretical frameworks.

Theory is also highly relevant to clinical interventions, in that our understanding of what causes PTSD FC will inform the treatment we provide. It is therefore an important area to develop further.

Section 2: Important or emerging areas of research in PTSD FC

The group also identified five important or emerging areas of research in PTSD FC that they thought should be prioritised. These were: staff and care pathways, prevention and early intervention, impact on families and infant, special populations, and post-traumatic growth.

Staff and care pathways

Evidence indicates that one significant cause of a woman's perception of birth as traumatic is the actions or inactions of maternity staff, which can result in care being experienced as dehumanising, disrespectful or uncaring (Elmir, Schmied, Wilkes, & Jackson, 2010; Goldbort, 2009). Professionals' manner and communication can significantly affect women's feelings of control during their delivery (Salter, 2009) and their ability to make informed decisions (Eliasson, Kainz, & Von Post, 2008). Yet choice, information and involvement in decisions are potentially protective against a traumatic birth experience (Goodall, McVittie, & Magill, 2009). Therefore, professionals need to understand that childbirth can be traumatic for women (Elmir et al., 2010); acknowledge the role they may play and recognise the signs of psychological trauma (Beck, 2004). There is also evidence that increased empathy in staff can increase their own risk of developing PTSD symptoms after witnessing traumatic childbirth events (Sheen, Spiby, & Slade, 2014). This means that clinicians need to manage the difficult boundary between recognising and supporting postnatal women with symptoms of trauma, while simultaneously maintaining their own professional boundaries in order to protect themselves.

In some international contexts, there is a firm policy remit for the assessment of psychological health and identification of perinatal mental health disorder in its

broadest sense (e.g. NICE, 2007; Beyond Blue, 2011; Rahman et al., 2013), which assumes that improved detection and assessment leads to improved outcomes. At present, this relationship is neither proven nor likely to be linear. Across the spectrum, perinatal mental health detection, treatment and referral remains seriously lacking, is inconsistent and requires attention (Goodman & Tyler-Viola, 2010; Jomeen & Martin 2014; NSPCC, 2013).

Routine questioning in clinical practice to elicit trauma symptoms requires appropriate and available measures (Alderdice et al., 2013). However, these are often not consistently utilised or applied (Rowan, McCourt, & Bick, 2010). Several authors propose flexible questioning to facilitate broader consideration of the comorbidities of psychological and complex psychosocial factors (Dennis, Janssen, & Singer, 2004; Robertson, Grace, Wallington, & Stuart, 2004), which might be more relevant to the PTSD FC context.

Clinical guidelines refer to PTSD FC pathways for care that appear to be outside the maternity context. The result of this might be that practitioners feel less informed about PTSD FC, but also unclear about referral and management options. Lack of training has been identified as a core barrier to addressing issues of perinatal mental illness (Byatt, Moore-Simas, Lundquist Johnson, & Ziedonis, 2012). Available and accessible pathways for care and onward referral are also critical for confident practitioner identification and assessment. Evidence highlights that midwives (Jomeen, Glover, & Davies, 2009), health visitors (Jomeen, Glover, Jones, Garg, & Marshall, 2013) and obstetricians (Leddy, Hagga, Gray, & Schulkin, 2011) are reluctant to ask women about psychological issues when pathways are not evident.

Deficient care may be a consequence of numerous factors, including psychopathology, time, effective screening measures, referral options or lack of knowledge (Matthey & Ross-Hamid, 2011). Research is needed to evaluate care pathways and training interventions, with reference to sensitive care, effective identification, assessment and management of PTSD FC. This research should additionally focus on the impact of witnessing traumatic events on staff and ways in which staff can be protected both by their own internal strategies, and by management in their place of work.

Prevention and early intervention

At present, published research on the prevention of PTSD FC is scarce. Most available evidence is on midwife-led postnatal debriefing. These randomised controlled trials (RCTs) suggest women appreciate debriefing sessions, but the evidence is inconsistent about whether debriefing reduces symptoms of PTSD or depression after birth (Baxter, McCourt, & Jarrett, 2014; Borg Cunen, McNeill, & Murray, 2014; Peeler, Chung, Stedmon, & Skirton, 2013). Interestingly, a study of women attending midwife debriefing services found the average time women chose to attend was 4 months after birth, which is much later than the 3 days to 4 weeks used in the RCTs (Meades, Pond, Ayers, & Warren, 2011). The controversy over debriefing arises because evidence for psychological debriefing following other types of traumatic events shows it can lead to increased PTSD under some circumstances (Rose, Bisson, Churchill, & Wessely, 2009). UK guidelines for PTSD treatment therefore explicitly state that debriefing should not be used. Whether the evidence on psychological debriefing is applicable to midwife-led debriefing is

difficult to determine because of huge variability in what midwife ‘debriefing’ comprises (Steele & Beedle, 2003). Clinical guidelines now recommend a less standardised ‘postnatal discussion’, in which a woman has the opportunity to evaluate the course of labour and delivery, to ask questions and to voice her opinion to a trained professional (NICE, 2007). Targeting debriefing as a form of treatment rather than providing it for all women as a form of prevention is also likely to be more effective.

Research looking at other prevention or treatment strategies is ongoing. Research is examining a number of promising potential prevention strategies, such as a system of identifying high-risk women and training staff to provide empathic care (McKenzie-McHarg, Crockett, Olander, & Ayers, 2014). Similarly, a recent RCT of trauma-focused CBT for mothers of preterm infants found it reduced symptoms of PTSD, depression and anxiety one and six months later (Shaw, St John, et al., 2014).

Prevention can address a variety of factors, some of which are outlined in previous sections, such as staff training and systemic interventions aimed at whole maternity systems. Prevention strategies targeted at women could include early identification of vulnerable women, additional targeted support from midwifery and psychological services, ensuring compassionate care in labour and interventions early in the postnatal period such as postnatal discussion which could encourage women to process any traumatic experience and have the possibility of reducing later symptoms. Any such interventions should also be aimed at changing factors that play a role in women’s appraisals, including the need for maternity staff to create realistic expectations of delivery.

One example of an intervention which aims to address the need for realistic expectations is that of a ‘birth flow chart’ rather than a ‘birth plan’, with different pathways for ‘what if labour starts with induction / preterm / ends with caesarean section’, etc. (Thomson & Downe, 2010). It is important that approaches to birth consider a range of possible processes and outcomes, rather than focusing on a single expected outcome which can result in women going into labour with an idealistic picture of natural childbirth (Frost, Pope, Liebling, & Murphy, 2006).

The group acknowledged the need to map current perinatal provision, in order to understand the messages women are receiving antenatally, but also during and after delivery. A number of researchers have explored the role of support antenatally and in labour (Iles, Spiby, & Slade, 2014) and this work is continuing.

Despite increasing knowledge of PTSD FC, very few professional support services are available to help women postnatally or prior to a subsequent birth (Thomson & Downe, 2008). Limited interventions for fear of childbirth exist within maternity services, emerging from the premise that fear of childbirth is both a consequence of (Elmir et al., 2010) and a risk factor for trauma symptoms (Otley, 2012; Fisher, Hauck, & Fenwick 2006). Despite a somewhat inconsistent evidence base (Otley, 2012), these may offer one pathway of care for PTSD FC.

For future clinical and research purposes, strategies for early intervention may be adapted from studies in other trauma populations. The optimal timing of treatment and intervention for PTSD FC remains a topic of debate. Intervening in the very early postnatal period may pathologise and disrupt normal cognitive mechanisms of adjustment. It potentially disregards the fact that most women do *not* develop long-term trauma responses following a difficult delivery. For example, as mentioned, meta-analyses show that 44% of people recover spontaneously without

intervention over the first 10 or more months after a traumatic event (Morina et al., 2014). However, women who report labour as traumatic or have early symptoms of PTSD should be monitored and offered referrals if and when it is appropriate.

Impact on families and infants

Traumatic birth may have negative implications for maternal and infant health, reproductive choices and relationships with infants and partners. Recent meta-syntheses of qualitative studies highlight the emotional impact on women, with reports of anger, self-blame, suicidal ideation, loss of positive affect, isolation and dissociation from others (Elmir et al., 2010; Fenech & Thomson, 2014). Future reproductive choices may be affected, with women delaying or avoiding future pregnancies due to fear. In extreme cases this can lead to women contemplating sterilisation (Fenech & Thomson, 2014).

Women's relationships may also be affected. Qualitative research suggests women can struggle to form a positive relationship with their infant (Elmir et al., 2010; Fenech & Thomson, 2014), although the role of comorbid depression in this is unclear (Davies, Slade, Wright, & Stewart, 2008; Parfitt & Ayers, 2009). PTSD FC can negatively impact on relationships with partners; and avoidance of sex and intimacy are common due to fear of conception and triggering PTSD symptoms (Elmir et al., 2010; Fenech & Thomson, 2014). Studies of male partners show high levels of comorbidity within couples and men's PTSD FC responses may affect the mental health of their partner (Iles et al., 2011). Men also report PTSD FC responses (Stramrood et al., 2013; White, 2007), but evidence of the scope of these is mixed (Bradley, Slade, & Leviston, 2008). Similarly, the impact of PTSD FC on the parent–baby relationship is not clear cut. For example, Parfitt and colleagues found that although PTSD was associated with a worse self-reported parent–baby bond (Parfitt & Ayers, 2009) it was not associated with an observational measure of parent–infant interaction (Parfitt, Pike, & Ayers, 2013a). A longitudinal study of the impact of PTSD on parenting found it was associated with parenting stress at two years, but did not affect mothers' perceptions of their infant (McDonald, Slade, Spiby, & Iles, 2011).

The long-term impact of PTSD FC on the infant's development is an area where little research is available. The qualitative research above suggests some women might have difficulties bonding and/or avoid breastfeeding (Beck & Watson, 2008), which can have long-term health implications for infants (Horta, Bahl, Martines, & Victora, 2007; Ip et al., 2007). Research with preterm infants has also reported that maternal PTSD is associated with poor sleeping and eating patterns in infants (Pierrehumbert, Nicole, Muller-Nix, Forcada-Guex, & Anserment, 2003). Only one study has been published to date that examines infant development in relation to PTSD FC and this found maternal PTSD FC was associated with poor cognitive development in the infant at 17 months of age (Parfitt, Pike, & Ayers, 2013b).

Conclusions about the impact of PTSD FC on the mother–baby relationship, the couple's relationship and child development therefore remain tentative because of the limited evidence available. While there is substantial qualitative research showing that a traumatic birth can have a wide-ranging impact on women and their families, more quantitative research is needed to confirm and extend these findings. In particular, prospective studies with large, representative samples are needed to establish the extent and nature of the impact of PTSD FC on the couple's

relationship and infant development. Studies are needed that examine gaps between index and subsequent children; the impact of trauma on infant's developmental outcomes within term, pre-term and vulnerable population groups (e.g. bereaved or abused mothers); the course and impact of male partners' PTSD FC responses; the combined effect of co-morbidity (particularly depression) on familial relationships; other birth partners (i.e. grandparents, sisters); as well as the intergenerational implications of trauma.

Given the uncertainty as to impact, clinicians are currently best-placed to monitor any impact of PTSD symptoms on the mother–infant attachment relationship, and to consider offering appropriate intervention to support secure attachment. Clinicians can also offer useful psychoeducational input to parents following a traumatic delivery to support positive infant outcomes.

Special populations

High-risk populations are increasingly focused on, including women with pre-eclampsia, preterm or stillbirth. Here we consider stillbirth and preterm birth.

Stillbirth

The global prevalence rate of stillbirths (in the UK, a baby born after 24 weeks with no signs of life) is 2.64 million (Cousens et al., 2011). Most research has focused on the psychological impact of stillbirth on parents and the wider family system, highlighting grief, loss of self-esteem, and feelings of worthlessness, isolation, shame and guilt (Cacciatore, 2010). Depression, anxiety, PTSD and traumatic grief have also been reported (Campbell-Jackson & Horsch, 2014).

As understanding about care after stillbirth has developed (see Horsch, Jacobs, & McKenzie-McHarg, 2015 for a review), there is still a need to disentangle traumatic grief and post-traumatic stress at a conceptual level. The International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies describes traumatic grief as the sudden and unexpected death of a significant other – usually a close family member. While many of the symptoms of traumatic grief overlap with PTSD, the core symptoms of traumatic grief are an unquenchable yearning or longing for the dead person that preoccupies much of a person's waking life. It is not typical to experience reliving or avoidance phenomena in the way that those experiencing PTSD do. There is very little research which aims to disentangle the two, and only a small number of studies examine both PTSD and traumatic grief within the same cohort (Campbell-Jackson & Horsch, 2014; Horsch, McKenzie-McHarg, & Jacob, 2015).

Guidelines surrounding maternal contact with the stillborn infant have been contradictory (NICE, 2007) and evidence as to whether seeing and holding the stillborn baby is associated with maternal anxiety and depressive symptoms has been inconclusive. A recent study on the maternal experience of this contact found that the majority felt satisfied with their decision to see or hold their stillborn (Ryninks, Collins, McKenzie-McHarg, & Horsch, 2014) and another emphasised the importance of sharing memories of the stillborn baby to aid psychological adjustment (Crawley, Lomax, & Ayers, 2013). In addition, a recent study has examined the impact of care practices and psychosocial interventions on parental distress (Crispus Jones, McKenzie-McHarg & Horsch, 2015). Efforts have increased to better understand the risk factors and predictors of traumatic grief after stillbirth, but more are

needed. Recent studies have focused on the impact of stillbirth on antenatal attachment during the subsequent pregnancy and on parenting a subsequent child (Campbell-Jackson, Bezance, & Horsch, 2014; Horsch, Jacobs, & McKenzie-McHarg, 2015).

Most research to date has grouped together all forms of perinatal loss and the psychological impact of early versus late loss requires further clarification. More longitudinal studies and those using validated measures and incorporating diverse samples are needed. More research examining the impact of changes in guidelines and care offered to bereaved parents linked with psychological theory should be encouraged.

Preterm birth

Preterm birth (prior to 37 weeks gestation) is the most important determinant of adverse outcomes in terms of survival, quality of life, psychosocial and emotional impact on the family and costs for health services. In Europe, the preterm birth rate for live births ranges from approximately 5% to 11% (Zeitlin et al., 2013). PTSD and depression in pregnancy are also associated with an increased risk of preterm birth (Yonkers et al., 2014).

Preterm birth and hospitalisation of the baby can be a distressing time for parents. Research on psychological adjustment following preterm birth has focused on depression and anxiety. Fewer studies have explored maternal trauma reactions. Studies report high and persistent rates of PTSD (Elkit, Hartvig, & Christiansen, 2007; Forcada-Guex, Borghini, Pierrehumbert, Anserment, & Muller-Nix, 2011; Jotzo & Poets, 2005; Misund, Nerdrum, Bratten, Pipp, & Diseth, 2013). Associations between PTSD symptoms, a poor mother–infant relationship, and adverse infant outcomes have also been reported (Feeley et al., 2011; Forcada-Guex et al., 2011; Pierrehumbert et al., 2003).

More studies are needed to identify risk factors for traumatic stress responses following preterm birth. Current research suggests a higher level of prematurity, low social support, dysfunctional coping, pre-eclampsia, bleeding in pregnancy, and intraventricular haemorrhage in babies are associated with higher levels of PTSD symptoms (Misund et al., 2013; Shaw, Bernard, Storfer-Isser, Rhine, & Horwitz, 2013; Suttora, Spinelli, & Monzani, 2014). There is a higher incidence of preterm birth in certain ethnic groups and in women from very deprived areas (Aveyard, Cheng, Manaseki, & Gardosi, 2002; Smith, Draper, Manktelow, Dorling, & Field, 2007). However, most research exploring PTSD has been conducted with white, married, highly educated mothers and research is needed with more diverse groups. Finally, guidelines for screening for trauma symptoms in mothers of preterm infants are absent. As there are currently no clear maternal or infant predictors, one option is to screen all mothers of preterm infants (Shaw, Lilo, et al., 2014).

Post-traumatic growth

Research on psychological adjustment following childbirth has predominantly focused on negative outcomes, and positive outcomes have been relatively ignored. A positive outcome that may be particularly relevant to birth is personal growth. Growth is defined as positive change resulting from struggle with challenging

events (Tedeschi & Calhoun, 1996) and has been variously conceptualised as 'benefit-finding', 'thriving' and 'posttraumatic growth' (PTG).

Evidence that positive outcomes and growth occur after birth is increasing. A population survey of 5333 women found that approximately one-third reported a positive outcome after birth (Henderson & Redshaw, 2013). Qualitative research on women's experiences following traumatic births supports this with positive outcomes such as a sense of strength or purpose being reported (Beck & Watson, 2010; Elmir et al., 2010; Thomson & Downe, 2010, 2013). Studies in different countries support the occurrence of growth following childbirth (Sawyer, Nakic Rados, Ayers, & Burn, 2015).

Very few studies have examined growth directly using validated instruments such as the post-traumatic growth inventory (PTGI). The PTGI measures five areas: New Possibilities, Relating to Others, Personal Strength, Spiritual Change and Appreciation of Life. Studies confirm that approximately 50% of women report at least moderate levels of growth, and scores are broadly comparable to other samples e.g. after accidents (Sawyer & Ayers, 2009). Women report most growth in the Appreciation of Life and Personal Strength domains, and the least in the Spiritual Change domain (Sawyer & Ayers, 2009; Sawyer, Ayers, Young, Bradley, & Smith, 2012; Taubman-Ben-Ari, Findler, & Sharon, 2011). More growth is reported by women with difficult circumstances, e.g. mothers of preterm babies (Spielman & Taubman-Ben-Ari, 2009), women who have PTSD in pregnancy or caesarean section births (Sawyer et al., 2012).

However, research on growth following childbirth is limited and important gaps remain around conceptualization, measurement, predictors and how growth can be incorporated into clinical interventions. Conceptually, the relationship between growth and resilience is unclear (Westphal & Bonanno, 2007). The applicability and validity of measures of growth with postnatal women needs to be explored further (Taubman-Ben-Ari et al., 2011). More qualitative research is needed to provide insights into the nature of positive changes following childbirth.

Finally, although the literature is equivocal regarding the relationship between growth and distress, a number of notable longitudinal studies in non-obstetric populations have found that growth following a stressful event is predictive of better emotional adjustment in the long term (e.g. Danoff-Burg & Revenson, 2005; Frazier, Conlon, & Glaser, 2001), and there are promising studies integrating growth into clinical interventions (Roepke, 2014). However, before growth can be recommended as a viable therapeutic option for women with PTSD FC, more prospective and longitudinal studies are needed to understand the relationship between growth and distress.

Summary

This paper provides an update on the paper by Ayers et al. (2008) and reports on progress and remaining gaps in the four primary areas of research and knowledge identified in that paper. In addition, five further areas of research are discussed which were considered important for future PTSD FC research. This paper highlights areas in which our understanding of PTSD has increased, as well as those where more research is needed. These include a wide range of issues, such as the development of robust measures for the identification of risk factors and PTSD FC, as well as consideration of subthreshold symptoms. The evaluation of theoretically

informed insights into PTSD FC are needed, as well as exploration into the potential for positive outcomes. Longitudinal studies are required to assess prevalence, intensity and severity of PTSD as well as impact on mothers, infants, fathers and others within diverse population groups. Further areas that require consideration concern the integration of PTSD FC within maternity care pathways together with suitable training for maternity professionals, alternative approaches to prepare mothers for childbirth and further testing to identify the timing of and suitable and effective intervention approaches.

Acknowledgements

The workshop conducted at St Anne's College, University of Oxford.

Funding

This work was supported by the Society for Reproductive and Infant Psychology, Research Developmental Workshop Grant.

References

- Alderdice, F., Ayers, S., Darwin, Z., Green, J., Jomeen, J., Kenyon, S., ... Walsh, J. (2013). Measuring psychological health in the perinatal period: Workshop consensus statement. *Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology*, *31*, 431–438. doi:10.1080/02646838.2013.835039
- American Psychiatric Association (APA). (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th edn). Arlington: APA.
- Aveyard, P., Cheng, K. K., Manaseki, S., & Gardosi, J. (2002). The risk of preterm delivery in women from different ethnic groups. *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, *109*, 894–899. doi:10.1111/j.1471-0528.2002.01197.x
- Ayers, S. (2004). Delivery as a traumatic event: Prevalence, risk factors and treatment for postnatal posttraumatic stress disorder. *Clinical Obstetrics and Gynecology*, *47*, 552–567. doi:10.1097/01.grf.0000129919.00756.9c
- Ayers, S., & Ford, E. (2014). Posttraumatic stress during pregnancy and the postpartum period. In A. Wenzel & S. Stuart (Eds.), *Oxford handbook of perinatal psychology*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Ayers, S., Harris, R., Sawyer, A., Parfitt, Y., & Ford, E. (2009). Posttraumatic stress disorder after childbirth: Analysis of symptom presentation and sampling. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *119*, 200–204. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2009.02.029
- Ayers, S., Joseph, S., McKenzie-McHarg, K., Slade, P., & Wijma, K. (2008). Post-traumatic stress disorder following childbirth: Current issues and recommendations for future research. *Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics & Gynecology*, *29*, 240–250. doi:10.1080/01674820802034631
- Ayers, S., McKenzie-McHarg, K., & Eagle, A. (2007). Cognitive behaviour therapy for postnatal post-traumatic stress disorder: Case studies. *Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics & Gynaecology*, *28*, 177–184. doi:10.1080/01674820601142957
- Ayers, S., Wright, D. B., & Ford, E. (2015). Traumatic birth and hyperarousal symptoms. *Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology*, Special Issue.
- Baxter, J. D., McCourt, C., & Jarrett, P. M. (2014). What is current practice in offering debriefing services to post partum women and what are the perceptions of women in accessing these services: A critical review of the literature. *Midwifery*, *30*, 194–19. doi:10.1016/j.midw.2013.12.013. Epub 2013 Dec 27.
- Beck, C. T. (2004). Birth trauma: In the eye of the beholder. *Nursing Research*, *53*, 28–35. doi:10.1097/00006199-200401000-00005
- Beck, C. T., & Watson, S. (2008). Impact of birth trauma on breastfeeding. A tale of two pathways. *Nursing Research*, *57*, 228–236. doi:10.1097/01.NNR.0000313494.87282.90

- Beck, C. T., & Watson, S. (2010). Subsequent childbirth after a previous traumatic birth. *Nursing Research*, *59*, 241–249. doi:10.1097/NNR.0b013e3181e501fd
- Beyond Blue. (2011). *Clinical practice guidelines for depression and related disorders – Anxiety, bipolar disorder and puerperal psychoses – In the perinatal period. A guideline for primary care professionals*. Melbourne, beyondblue: The national depression initiative.
- Boorman, R. J., Devilly, G. J., Gamble, J., Creedy, D. K., & Fenwick, J. (2014). Childbirth and criteria for traumatic events. *Midwifery*, *30*, 255–261. doi:10.1016/j.midw.2013.03.001
- Borg Cunen, N., McNeill, J., & Murray, K. (2014). A systematic review of midwife-led interventions to address post partum post-traumatic stress. *Midwifery*, *30*, 170–184. doi:10.1016/j.midw.2013.09.003. Epub 2013 Sep 21.
- Bradley, R., Slade, P., & Leviston, A. (2008). Low rates of PTSD in men attending childbirth: Preliminary study. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, *47*, 295–302. doi:10.1348/014466508X279495
- Brewin, C. R. (2001). A cognitive neuroscience account of posttraumatic stress disorder and its treatment. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *39*, 373–393. doi:10.1016/S0005-7967(00)00087-5
- Brown, V. M., & Morey, R. A. (2012). Neural systems for cognitive and emotional processing in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Frontiers of Psychology*, *30*, 449.
- Byatt, N., Moore Simas, T. A., Lundquist, R. S., Johnson, J. V., & Ziedonis, D. M. (2012). Strategies for improving perinatal depression treatment in North American outpatient obstetric settings. *Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics & Gynecology*, *33*, 143–161. doi:10.3109/0167482X.2012.728649
- Cacciatore, J. (2010). The unique experiences of women and their families after the death of a baby. *Social Work and Health Care*, *49*, 134–148. doi:10.1080/00981380903158078
- Campbell-Jackson, L., & Horsch, A. (2014). The psychological impact of stillbirth: A systematic review. *Illness, Crisis and Loss*, *22*, 237–256.
- Campbell-Jackson, L., Bezance, J., & Horsch, A. (2014). ‘A renewed sense of purpose’: Mothers’ and fathers’ experience of having a child following a recent stillbirth. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, *14*, 423. doi:10.1186/s12884-014-0423-x
- Charuvastra, A., & Cloitre, M. (2008). Social bonds and posttraumatic stress disorder. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *59*, 301–328. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.58.110405.085650
- Cousens, S., Blencowe, H., Stanton, C., Chou, D., Ahmed, S., Steinhardt, L., ... Lawn, J. E. (2011). National, regional, and worldwide estimates of stillbirth rates in 2009 with trends since 1995: A systematic analysis. *Lancet*, *377*, 1319–1330. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)62310-0
- Crawley, R., Lomax, S., & Ayers, S. (2013). Recovering from stillbirth: The effects of making and sharing memories on maternal mental health. *Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology*, *31*, 195–207. doi:10.1080/02646838.2013.795216
- Crispus Jones, H., McKenzie-McHarg, K., & Horsch, A. (2015). Standard care practices and psychosocial interventions aimed at reducing parental distress following stillbirth: A systematic narrative review. *Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology*.
- Danoff-Burg, S., & Revenson, T. A. (2005). Benefit-finding among patients with rheumatoid arthritis: Positive effects on interpersonal relationships. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, *28*, 91–103. doi:10.1007/s10865-005-2720-3
- Davies, J., Slade, P., Wright, I., & Stewart, P. (2008). Posttraumatic stress symptoms following childbirth and mothers’ perceptions of their infant. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, *29*, 537–554. doi:10.1002/imhj.20197
- Dennis, C.-L., Janssen, P., & Singer, J. (2004). Identifying women at-risk for postpartum depression in the immediate postpartum period. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, *110*, 338–346. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0447.2004.00337.x
- Ehlers, A., & Clark, D. M. (2000). A cognitive model of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *38*, 319–345. doi:10.1016/S0005-7967(99)00123-0
- Eliasson, M., Kainz, G., & Von Post, I. (2008). Uncaring midwives. *Nursing Ethics*, *15*, 501–511. doi:10.1177/0969733008090521

- Elklit, A., Hartvig, T., & Christiansen, M. (2007). Psychological sequelae in parents of extreme low and very low birth weight infants. *Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings, 14*, 238–247. doi:10.1007/s10880-007-9077-4
- Elmir, R., Schmied, V., Wilkes, L., & Jackson, D. (2010). Women's perceptions and experiences of a traumatic birth: A meta-ethnography. *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 66*, 2142–2153. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2648.2010.05391.x
- Feeley, N., Zelkowitz, P., Cormier, C., Charbonneau, L., Lacroix, A., & Papageorgiou, A. (2011). Posttraumatic stress among mothers of very low birthweight infants at 6 months after discharge from the neonatal intensive care unit. *Applied Nursing Research, 24*, 114–117. doi:10.1016/j.apnr.2009.04.004
- Fenech, G., & Thomson, G. (2014). 'Tormented by ghosts of their past': A meta-synthesis to explore the psychosocial implications of a traumatic birth on maternal wellbeing. *Midwifery, 30*, 185–193. doi:10.1016/j.midw.2013.12.004
- Fisher, C., Hauck, Y., & Fenwick, J. (2006). How social context impacts on women's fears of childbirth: A Western Australian example. *Social Science and Medicine, 63*, 64–75. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2005.11.065
- Forcada-Guex, M., Borghini, A., Pierrehumbert, B., Ansermet, F., & Muller-Nix, C. (2011). Prematurity, maternal posttraumatic stress and consequences on the mother–infant relationship. *Early Human Development, 87*, 21–26. doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2010.09.006
- Ford, E., Ayers, S., & Bradley, R. (2010). Exploration of a cognitive model to predict post-traumatic stress symptoms following childbirth. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 24*, 353–359. doi:10.1016/j.janxdis.2010.01.008
- Frazier, P., Conlon, A., & Glaser, T. (2001). Positive and negative life changes following sexual assault. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 69*, 1048–1055. doi:10.1037/0022-006X.69.6.1048
- Frost, J., Pope, C., Liebling, R., & Murphy, D. J. (2006). Utopian theory and the discourse of natural birth. *Social Theory & Health, 4*, 299–318. doi:10.1037/0022-006X.69.6.1048
- Goldbort, J. G. (2009). Women's lived experience of their unexpected birthing process. *The American Journal of Maternal/Child Nursing, 34*, 57–62. doi:10.1097/01.NMC.0000343867.95108.b3
- Goodall, E. K., McVittie, C., & Magill, M. (2009). Birth choice following primary caesarean section: Mothers' perceptions of the influence of health professionals on decision making. *Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology, 27*, 4–14. doi:10.1080/02646830801918430
- Goodman, J. H., & Tyer-Viola, L. (2010). Detection, treatment, and referral of perinatal depression and anxiety by obstetrical providers. *Journal of Women's Health, 19*, 477–490. doi:10.1089/jwh.2008.1352
- Grekin, R., & O'Hara, M. W. (2014). Prevalence and risk factors of postpartum posttraumatic stress disorder: A meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review, 34*, 389–401. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2014.05.003
- Henderson, J., & Redshaw, M. (2013). Who is well after childbirth? Factors related to positive outcome. *Birth, 40*, 1–9. doi:10.1111/birt.12022
- Horsch, A., Jacobs, I., & McKenzie-McHarg, K. (2015). Cognitive predictors and risk factors of PTSD and its relationship with perinatal grief following stillbirth: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Traumatic Stress, 28*, 1–8.
- Horta, B. L., Bahl, R., Martínés, J. C., & Victora, C. G. (2007). *Evidence on the long-term effects of breastfeeding: Systematic reviews and meta-analysis*. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Iles JRIP paper. (2015). Postnatal posttraumatic stress: A grounded theory model of first time mother's experiences. *Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology*.
- Iles, J., Slade, P., & Spiby, H. (2011). Posttraumatic stress symptoms and postpartum depression in couples after childbirth: The role of partner support and attachment. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 25*, 520–530. doi:10.1016/j.janxdis.2010.12.006
- Iles, J., Slade, P., & Spiby, H. (2014). Modification and preliminary use of the five-minute speech sample in the postpartum: Associations with postnatal depression and posttraumatic stress. *Archives of Women's Mental Health, 17*, 389–402. doi:10.1007/s00737-014-0414-y

- Ip, S., Chung, M., Raman, G., Chew, P., Magula, N., DeVine, D., ... Lau, J. (2007). Breastfeeding and maternal and infant health outcomes in developed countries. *Evidence Report – Technology Assessment*, 153, 1–186.
- Jomeen, J., & Martin, C. R. (2014). Developing specialist perinatal mental health services. *Practising Midwife*, 17, 18–21.
- Jomeen, J., Glover, L., & Davies, S. (2009). Midwives' illness perceptions of antenatal depression. *British Journal of Midwifery*, 17, 296–303. doi:10.12968/bjom.2009.17.5.42221
- Jomeen, J., Glover, L., Jones, C., Garg, D., & Marshall, C. (2013). Assessing women's perinatal psychological health: Exploring the experiences of health visitors. *Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology*, 31, 479–489. doi:10.1080/02646838.2013.835038
- Jotzo, M., & Poets, C. F. (2005). Helping parents cope with the trauma of premature birth: An evaluation of a trauma-preventive psychological intervention. *Pediatrics*, 115, 915–919. doi:10.1542/peds.2004-0370
- Kenworthy Teather, E. (2005). *Embodied geographies*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Lazarus, R., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal and coping*. New York, NY: Springer Publishing.
- Leddy, M., Hagga, D., Gray, J., & Schulkin, J. (2011). Postpartum mental health screening and diagnosis by obstetrician-gynecologists. *Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 32, 27–34. doi:10.3109/0167482X.2010.547639
- Matthey, S., & Ross-Hamid, C. (2011). The validity of DSM symptoms for depression and anxiety disorders during pregnancy. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 133, 546–552. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2011.05.004
- McDonald, S., Slade, P., Spiby, H., & Iles, J. (2011). Post-traumatic stress symptoms, parenting stress and mother–child relationships following childbirth and at 2 years postpartum. *Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics & Gynaecology*, 32, 141–146. doi:10.3109/0167482X.2011.596962
- McKenzie-McHarg, K., Crockett, M., Olander, E., & Ayers, S. (2014). Think Pink!: A pink sticker alert system for women with psychological distress or vulnerability during pregnancy. *British Journal of Midwifery*, 22, 590–595.
- Meades, R., Pond, C., Ayers, S., & Warren, F. (2011). Postnatal debriefing: Have we thrown the baby out with the bathwater? *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 49, 367–372.
- Misund, A. R., Nerdrum, P., Braten, S., Pripp, A. H., & Diseth, T. H. (2013). Long-term risk of mental health problems in women experiencing preterm birth: A longitudinal study of 29 mothers. *Annals of General Psychiatry*, 12, 33–41. Found at <http://www.annals-general-psychiatry.com/content/12/1/33>
- Morina, N., Wicherts, J. M., Lobrecht, J., & Priebe, S. (2014). Remission from post-traumatic stress disorder in adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis of long term outcome studies. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 34, 249–255.
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence [NICE]. (2007). *Antenatal and Postnatal Mental Health: Clinical Management and Service Guidance*. London: Department of Health.
- NSPCC. (2013). *Prevention in mind*. Retrieved from http://www.nspcc.org.uk/Inform/resourcesforprofessionals/underones/spotlight-mental-health-landing_wda96578.html
- Otley, H. (2012). Fear of childbirth: Understanding the causes, impact and treatment. *British Journal of Midwifery*, 19(4). Retrieved from <http://www.baby-birth.com/articles/54-antenatal/321-fear-of-childbirth-understanding-the-causes-impact-and-treatment.html>. doi:10.12968/bjom.2011.19.4.215
- Parfitt, Y., & Ayers, S. (2009). The effect of post-natal symptoms of post-traumatic stress and depression on the couple's relationship and parent–baby bond. *Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology*, 27, 127–142. doi:10.1080/02646830802350831
- Parfitt, Y., Pike, A., & Ayers, S. (2013). The impact of parents' mental health on parent–baby interaction: A prospective study. *Infant Behavior and Development*, 36, 599–608. doi:10.1016/j.infbeh.2013.06.003
- Parfitt, Y., Pike, A., & Ayers, S. (2013b). Infant developmental outcomes: A family systems perspective. *Infant and Child Development*. doi:10.1002/icd.1830

- Parratt, J. A. (2008). Territories of the self and spiritual practices during childbirth. In K. Fahey, M. Foureur, & C. Hastie (Eds.), *Birth territory and midwifery guardianship: Theory for practice, education and research* (pp. 39–54). Chatswood, NSW: Elsevier Health Sciences.
- Peeler, S., Chung, M. C., Stedmon, J., & Skirton, H. (2013). A review assessing the current treatment strategies for postnatal psychological morbidity with a focus on post-traumatic stress disorder. *Midwifery*, *29*, 377–388. doi:10.1016/j.midw.2012.03.004. Epub 2012 Nov 21.
- Pierrehumbert, B., Nicole, A., Muller-Nix, C., Forcada-Guex, M., & Ansermet, F. (2003). Parental post-traumatic reactions after premature birth: Implications for sleeping and eating problems in the infant. *Archives of Disease in Childhood – Fetal and Neonatal Edition*, *88*, F400–F404. doi:10.1136/fn.88.5.F400
- Quinn, K., Spiby, H., & Slade, P. (2015). A longitudinal study exploring the role of adult attachment in relation to perceptions of pain in labour, childbirth memory and acute traumatic stress responses. *Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology, special issue*.
- Rahman, A., Surkan, P. J., Cayetano, C. E., Rwagatare, P., & Dickson, K. E. (2013). Grand challenges: Integrating maternal mental health into maternal and child health programmes. *PLoS Med*, *10*(5), e1001442. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001442
- Robertson, E., Grace, S., Wallington, T., & Stewart, D. E. (2004). Antenatal risk factors for postpartum depression: A synthesis of recent literature. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, *26*, 289–295. doi:10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2004.02.006
- Roepke, A. M. (2014). Psychosocial interventions and posttraumatic growth: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. Advance online publication. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0036872>
- Rose, S. C., Bisson, J., Churchill, R., & Wessely, S. (2009). Psychological debriefing for preventing post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2002*, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD000560. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD000560
- Rowan, C., McCourt, C., & Bick, D. (2010). Provision of perinatal mental health services in two strategic health authorities: Views and perspectives of the multi-professional team. *Evidence Based Midwifery*, *8*, 98–106.
- Ryninks, K., Collins, C., McKenzie-McHarg, K., & Horsch, A. (2014). Mothers' experience of their contact with their stillborn infant. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, *14*, 203. doi:10.1186/1471-2393-14-203
- Salter, K. (2009). Beating the trauma of a bad birth experience. *Mental Health Today*, *September*, 14–15.
- Sandstrom, M., Wiberg, B., Wikman, M., Willman, A. K., & Hogberg, U. (2008). A pilot study of eye movement desensitisation and reprocessing treatment (EMDR) for post-traumatic stress after childbirth. *Midwifery*, *24*, 62–73.
- Sawyer, A., & Ayers, S. (2009). Post-traumatic growth in women after childbirth. *Psychology & Health*, *24*, 457–471. doi:10.1080/08870440701864520
- Sawyer, A., Ayers, S., Young, D., Bradley, R., & Smith, H. (2012). Posttraumatic growth after childbirth: A prospective study. *Psychology and Health*, *27*, 362–377. doi:10.1080/08870446.2011.578745
- Sawyer, A., Nakic Rados, S., Ayers, S., & Burn, E. (2015). Posttraumatic growth in UK and Croatian women following childbirth: A preliminary study. *Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology Special Issue*.
- Shaw, R. J., Bernard, R. S., Storfer-Isser, A., Rhine, W., & Horwitz, S. M. (2013). Parental coping in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings*, *20*, 135–142. doi:10.1007/s10880-012-9328-x
- Shaw, R. J., Lilo, E. A., Storfer-Isser, A., Ball, M. B., Proud, M. S., Vierhaus, N. S., et al. (2014). Screening for symptoms of postpartum traumatic stress in a sample of mothers with preterm infants. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing*, *35*, 198–207. doi:10.3109/01612840.2013.85332
- Shaw, R. J., St John, N., Lilo, E., Jo, B., Benitz, W., Stevenson, D. K., & Horwitz, S. M. (2014). Prevention of traumatic stress in mothers of preterms: 6-month outcomes. *Pediatrics*, *134*, e481–488.

- Sheen, K., Spiby, H., & Slade, P. (2014). *An integrative review of the impact of indirect trauma exposure in health professionals and potential issues of salience for midwives*. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 709, 729–743. doi:10.1111/jan.12274
- Slade, P. (2006). Towards a conceptual framework for understanding post-traumatic stress symptoms following childbirth and implications for further research. *Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 27, 99–105. doi:10.1080/01674820600714582
- Smith, L. K., Draper, E. S., Manktelow, B. N., Dorling, J. S., & Field, D. J. (2007). Socioeconomic inequalities in very preterm birth rates. *Archives of Disease in Childhood: Fetal and Neonatal Edition*, 92, F11–F14. doi:10.1136/adc.2005.090308
- Spielman, V., & Taubman-Ben-Ari, O. (2009). Parental self-efficacy and stress-related growth in the transition to parenthood: A comparison between parents of pre- and full-term babies. *Health and Social Work*, 34, 201–212. doi:10.1093/hsw/34.3.201
- Steele, A. M., & Beadle, M. (2003). A survey of postnatal debriefing. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 43, 130–136.
- Stramrood, C. A., Doornbos, B., Wessel, I., van Geenen, M., Aarnoudse, J. G., van den Berg, P. P., ... van Pampus, M. G. (2013). Fathers with PTSD and depression in pregnancies complicated by preterm preeclampsia or PPROM. *Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics*, 287, 653–661. doi:10.1007/s00404-012-2611-0
- Stramrood, C. A., Paarlberg, K. M., Huis In 't Veld E. M., Berger, L. W., Vingerhoets, A. J., Schultz, W. C., & van Pampus, M. G. (2011). Posttraumatic stress following childbirth in homelike and hospital settings. *Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 32, 88–97. doi:10.3109/0167482X.2011.569801
- Stramrood, C. A., van der Velde, J., Doornbos, B., Paarlberg, K. M., Weijmar Schultz, W. C., & van Pampus, M. G. (2012). The patient observer: Eye-movement desensitization and reprocessing for the treatment of posttraumatic stress following childbirth. *Birth*, 39, 70–76.
- Suttora, C., Spinelli, M., & Monzani, D. (2014). From prematurity to parenting stress: The mediating role of perinatal post-traumatic stress disorder. *European Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 11, 478–493. doi:10.1080/17405629.2013.859574
- Taubman-Ben-Ari, O., Findler, L., & Sharon, N. (2011). Personal growth in mothers: Examination of the suitability of the posttraumatic growth inventory as a measurement tool. *Women and Health*, 51, 622. doi:10.1080/03630242.2011.614324
- Tedeschi, R. G., & Calhoun, L. G. (1996). The Posttraumatic Growth Inventory: Measuring the positive legacy of trauma. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 9, 455–472. doi:10.1007/BF02103658
- Thomson, G., & Downe, S. (2008). Widening the trauma discourse: The link between childbirth and experiences of abuse. *Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 29, 268–273. doi:10.1080/01674820802545453
- Thomson, G., & Downe, S. (2010). Changing the future to change the past: Women's experiences of a positive birth following a traumatic birth experience. *Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology*, 28, 102–112. doi:10.1080/02646830903295000
- Thomson, G., & Downe, S. (2013). A hero's tale of childbirth. *Midwifery*, 29, 765–771. doi:10.1016/j.midw.2012.07.008
- Vossbeck-Elsebusch, A. N., Freisfeld, C., & Ehring, T. (2014). Predictors of posttraumatic stress symptoms following childbirth. *BMC Psychiatry*, 14, 200. doi:10.1186/1471-244X-14-200
- Westphal, M., & Bonanno, G. A. (2007). Posttraumatic growth and resilience to trauma: Different sides of the same coin or different sides. *Applied Psychology: An International Review*, 56, 417–427. doi:10.1111/j.1464-0597.2007.00298.x
- White, G. (2007). You cope by breaking down in private: Fathers and PTSD following childbirth. *British Journal of Midwifery*, 15, 39–45. doi:10.12968/bjom.2007.15.1.22679
- White, T., Matthey, S., Boyd, K., & Barnett, B. (2006). Postnatal depression and post-traumatic stress after childbirth: Prevalence, course and co-occurrence. *Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology*, 24, 107–120. doi:10.1080/02646830600643874
- World Health Organisation. (1992). *ICD-10 Classifications of Mental and Behavioural Disorder: Clinical Descriptions and Diagnostic Guidelines*. Geneva: World Health Organisation.

- Yonkers, K. A., Smith, M. V., Forray, A., Epperson, C. N., Costello, D., Lin, H., & Belanger, K. (2014). Pregnant women with posttraumatic stress disorder and risk of preterm birth. *JAMA Psychiatry*. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.558 [Epub ahead of print].
- Zeitlin, J., Szamotulska, K., Drewniak, N., Mohangoo, A. D., Chalmers, J., Sakkeus, L., ... The Euro-Peristat Preterm Study Group. (2013). Preterm birth time trends in Europe: A study of 19 countries. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 120, 1356–1365. doi:10.1111/1471-0528.12281

Appendix 1: participants

- Susan Ayers, Professor of Maternal and Child Health, City University London, UK
Ros Crawley, Reader in Cognitive Psychology, University of Sunderland, UK
Elizabeth Ford, Research Fellow in Epidemiology, Sussex University, UK
Antje Horsch, Clinical Psychologist, University of Lausanne, Switzerland
Jane Iles, Research Associate, Imperial College London, UK
Julie Jomeen, Professor of Midwifery, University of Hull, UK
Kirstie McKenzie-McHarg, Consultant Clinical Psychologist, Warwick Hospital, UK
Aimee Poote, Clinical Psychologist, Warwick Hospital, UK
Alexandra Sawyer, Research Fellow, City University, London, UK
Geraldine Scott-Heyes, Consultant Clinical Psychologist, Belfast Trust, NI
Kayleigh Sheen, Research Associate, University of Liverpool, UK
Pauline Slade, Professor of Clinical Psychology, University of Liverpool, UK
Claire Stramrood, Obs/Gynae Resident, Utrecht, Netherlands
Gill Thomson, Research Fellow, University of Central Lancashire, UK